

# **Belleview Elementary School**

197 West Belleview Street • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7110 • Grades K-6 Lorenzo Cruz, Principal Icruz@portervilleschools.org

**2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year** 

# Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave. Porterville, CA 93257 (559) 793-2400 portervilleschools.org

## **District Governing Board**

Hayley Buettner

Pete Lara, Jr.

**Pat Contreras** 

Sharon Gill

David DePaoli

**Richard Morris** 

Lillian Durbin

# **District Administration**

John Snavely, Ed.D. **Superintendent** 

Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent
Business Services

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent

Instructional Services

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

#### About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <a href="www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (559) 782-7110.

## Principal's Message

Belleview School is committed to the idea that all students can be successful learners. In order for each child to lead a productive and meaningful life, our goal is to:

- Motivate each child to reach his/her fullest potential
- Build self-knowledge
- Enhance self-concept
- Develop critical thinking/decision making skills
- \* Prepare for college and career

### **School Mission Statement**

Belleview School will provide a safe learning environment that fosters opportunities for positive relations and academic excellence. Our school will have the skills and knowledge to be prepared for college and career readiness and to make a positive impact in a dynamic global society. Belleview Elementary School will provide students a dynamic, engaging and effective educational experience that prepares them with the skills to be productive citizens in a global society.

## **Community & School Profile**

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

Belleview School serves students in grades kindergarten through six on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on improving literacy and language arts skills, with special emphasis placed on cultural awareness. Diverse literature selections, Pioneer Days, and various cultural observances promote a climate of tolerance and acceptance among students and faculty.

During the 2012-13 school year, 500 students were enrolled at the school. Student demographics are displayed in the chart.

# **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Belleview School benefits from an involved Parent-Teacher Association (PTA). The PTA works with the community through various school fundraisers, activities, and field trips. Each year, the PTA adopts a project to benefit the school community. Most recently, the PTA's efforts led to book purchases for the library. Belleview School also receives support from Target, Home Depot and WalMart. The School District provides a Parent Involvement Policy and School Compact information.

### **Contact Information**

Parents who wish to participate in the school's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (559) 782-7110. The district's website (www.portervilleschools.org) also provides a variety of helpful resources and information for parents, students, staff, and community members.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level Number of Students				
Kinder.	88			
Gr. 1	85			
Gr. 2	74			
Gr. 3	60			
Gr. 4	64			
Gr. 5	63			
Gr. 6	64			
Total	498			

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	0.4			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6			
Asian	1.6			
Filipino	0.4			
Hispanic or Latino	78.1			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.2			
White	16.9			
Two or More Races	1.6			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	93.2			
English Learners	28.1			
Students with Disabilities	2.0			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution											
					Number of Classrooms*							
AVE	erage C	lass Siz	:e		1-20		21-32			33+		
Year	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
Kinder.	24	24.3	29	0	0		3	3	3	0	0	
Gr. 1	24	23.7	28	0	0		3	3	3	0	0	
Gr. 2	23	21.7	25	1	3		2	0	3	0	0	
Gr. 3	24	23.7	30	0	0		2	3	2	0	0	
Gr. 4	30.5	32.5	32	0	0		2	1	2	0	1	
Gr. 5	31.5	31	32	0	0		2	2	2	0	0	
Gr. 6	26.5	30	32	0	0		2	2	2	0	0	

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School 10-11 11-12 12-13						
Suspensions Rate	0.23	1.07	0.40			
<b>Expulsions Rate</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00			
District	10-11	11-12	12-13			
Suspensions Rate	11.96	11.83	7.97			
<b>Expulsions Rate</b>	1.03	0.14	0.17			

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

### **School Safety Plan**

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Belleview Elementary School. Classified staff supervise students on campus before and after school and during lunch. Teachers and administrative staff supervise students during break periods and recess. All visitors must sign in at the school's office and receive proper authorization to be on campus. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times.

The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in Fall 2012 by the Safety Committee. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness and active shooter. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis; fire drills are held once a month, earthquake drills are held twice a year, and secure campus drills are conducted once each year.

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

#### Year and month in which data were collected: 08/22/2013

Belleview Elementary School was originally constructed in the early 1920s and has since undergone complete modernization. In the summer of 2009 the cafeteria was remodeled, student bathrooms were remodeled, and the hallway eves were closed and stuccoed. The campus is currently comprised of 18 classrooms (including portables), a library, one staff room, a cafeteria, two playgrounds, and the main office. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in August 22, 2013.

#### **Cleaning Process**

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

#### Maintenance & Repair

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the school's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received. At the time of publication, 100% of the school's restrooms were in working order.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
Contain language		Repair Status	Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[ ]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[ ]	Rm2 - Cabinets could use new paint. Rm9 - Missing base board. Rm16 - New Carpet being installed. Rm17 - Missing vent cover.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]		
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[ ]	[]	Rm 6 - Fountain not working. Rm7 - Fountain not working. Hall Restroom Girls - Drinking fountain outside girls restroom has chipped porcelean needs to be refinished.	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	Rm2 - Stained ceilign tiles. Rm6 - Stained ceiling tiles. Rm8 - Stained ceiling tiles.	

School Facility Good Repair Status					
6 orteon brown and all		Repair	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[	]	[]	
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
	[]	[X]	[]	[ ]	

Teacher Credentials							
School 10-11 11-12 12-13							
Fully Credentialed	18	20	19				
Without Full Credential	0	0	0				
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0				
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13				
Fully Credentialed	•	+	563				
Without Full Credential	•	+	4				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
School 11-12 12-13 13-14						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments 0 0 0						
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0			

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group,

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator
- Rigorous extended academic discourse through WestEd

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. For the past three years, the district has offered two staff development days.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, methodologies and technology. The school uses the Best Practices teaching strategies and teachers are trained in the techniques each year.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

### **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

of Classes In Core Academ Classes Taught by Highly (	•
Taught hu Highlu	Not Tought by High

	<b>5</b> , <b>5</b> , ·						
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers					
This School	100.0	0.0					
Districtwide							
All Schools	98.2	1.8					
High-Poverty Schools	98.2	1.8					
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0					

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Academic Counselor Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor Library Media Teacher (Librarian) 1 Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) **Psychologist** 1 Social Worker 1 Nurse 1 Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist 1 **Resource Specialist** 1 Other **Average Number of Students per Staff Member Academic Counselor**

# Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

	Ехр	Average		
Level	Level Total Restricted		Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,753	\$870	\$4,883	\$77,761
District	<b>+</b>	•	\$6,336	\$69,248
State	* *		\$5,537	\$68,841
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-22.9	12.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-11.8	13.0

- Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
- \* Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,106	\$40,933			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,598	\$65,087			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,129	\$84,436			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$123,392	\$106,715			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$114,481	\$111,205			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$124,594	\$120,506			
Superintendent Salary	\$155,487	\$207,812			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	38.9%	39.8%			
Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.1%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

# Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

#### Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 6, 2011 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in December 2011, regarding textbooks in use during the 2011-12 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials					
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption				
Reading/Language Arts		Houghton Mifflin			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2003			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%				
Mathematics		Glencoe/McGraw Hill			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2007			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%				
Science		Glencoe			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2006			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Harcourt			
		Adopted 2001			
History-Social Science		Houghton Mifflin			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2007			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%				
Health		Harcourt			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2006			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%				

<u>Note</u>: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include Englishlanguage arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate
  assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA
  for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three
  through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
  and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to
  assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
  achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California
  content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

	STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison								
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School District State								
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	42	42	37	42	45	42	54	56	55
Math	59	61	57	41	44	41	49	50	50
Science	34	47	33	44	44	44	57	60	59
H-SS		35 37 36 48 49 49							

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group							
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS			
All Students in the LEA	42	41	44	36			
All Student at the School	37	57	33				
Male	29	52	29				
Female	46	62	38				
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino	40	56	33				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	31	59					
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	34	54	34				
English Learners	13	40	8				
Students with Disabilities							
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	5	32					

## California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6		
5	20.6	20.6	34.9		

## **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison					
Consum	Actual API Change				
Group	10-11	11-12	12-13		
All Students at the School	2	-2	-22		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	-5	14	-17		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	26	-57	-41		
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-5	0	-30		
English Learners	-24	14	-14		
Students with Disabilities					

### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API** rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison						
API Rank 2010 2011 2012						
Statewide	4	4	3			
Similar Schools 4 4 3						

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2010-2011	2006-2007
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	
Number of Schools Currently in Program I	17	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program In	89.5	

# API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group		School	District	State
All Students	Students	299	10,065	4,655,989
at the School	API-G	749	742	790
Black or	Students	2	41	296,463
African American	API-G		742	708
American Indian or	Students	2	233	30,394
Alaska Native	API-G		683	743
Asian	Students	5	180	406,527
	API-G		815	906
Filipino	Students	1	93	121,054
	API-G		820	867
Hispanic	Students	227	7,923	2,438,951
or Latino	API-G	755	735	744
Native Hawaiian/	Students	0	26	25,351
Pacific Islander	API-G		655	774
White	Students	56	1,452	1,200,127
	API-G	741	775	853
Two	Students	5	94	125,025
or More Races	API-G		758	824
Socioeconomically	Students	274	8,452	2,774,640
Disadvantaged	API-G	734	730	743
English Learners	Students	78	3,765	1,482,316
	API-G	707	689	721
Students	Students	13	579	527,476
with Disabilities	API-G	539	494	615

## **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No